Update on GEMS project



Ron Lewis

ASI Annual Convention Genetics Forum (Jan. 16, 2025)







Introduction



- Sheep industry
 - A variety of sheep breeds
 - A range of climates and management systems
- Need to breed robust animals that perform well under these conditions
- Key to the industry's economic sustainability

Objectives

- Evaluate key robustness and climatic resilience traits
 - Lamb survival, ewe longevity, udder health, parasite resistance
- Build genomic reference populations in several U.S. sheep breeds for these traits
- Evaluate genotyping strategies and methods for genetic evaluation to incorporate these traits into breeding objectives



Sheep GEMS



Genetics



Genetic conditions



Breed

Ovine Progressive Pneumonia (OPP) susceptibility

Genetic evaluation of robustness traits



- Evaluated udder depth and teat placement in Polypay sheep
 - Heritable (~35%) and highly (negatively) genetically correlated
- Defined eight ewe longevity traits in Katahdin sheep
 - Heritable (6% to 15%) and highly (positively) genetically correlated
- Can therefore improve through selective breeding
 - More so once incorporating genomic information

Genotyping strategies



- Percent change in accuracy as more animals genotyped
 - Gradual yet substantial improvements

Genotyping strategies



- Percent change in accuracy as more animals genotyped
 - Gradual yet substantial improvements
- Percent change in accuracy with genomic information when 20% of sires are misidentified
 - Compensate for pedigree errors once 15% of animals genotyped
 - Improvements in accuracy continue to accrue thereafter

Environment



- By combining climate and management information, can more robustly define production environments
 - Conducted management survey of NSIP flocks in several breeds spread across the U.S.
 - Collated with climate information from national weather service drawn for flock location

Eco-management clusters

Eco-management clusters

Defined eco-management clusters of flocks to more wholistically describe production environments







Suffolk

Genotype by environment interaction



- Do sire families perform differently in different environments (G x E)?
- Defined environments using ecomanagement clusters

GxE

- Variation in fecal egg counts (FEC) and FAMACHA scores differed appreciably among clusters in Katahdins
- Significant sire by ecomanagement cluster interactions detected
 - Explained 12% (FEC) to 20% (weight) of phenotypic variation
- Need to consider G x E in our breeding programs



Sheep GEMS



Scientific team

- Brian Arisman (MS student), University of Nebraska-Lincoln
- Luiz Brito, Purdue University
- Joan Burke, USDA, ARS Dale Bumpers Small Farms Research Center
- Brad Freking, USDA, ARS US Meat Animal Research Center
- Ron Lewis, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
- Tom Murphy, USDA ARS US Meat Animal Research Center
- Luis Pinto (Visiting Scientist), Purdue University
- Sara Nilson (Post-doctoral Researcher), University of Nebraska-Lincoln
- > Artur Oliveira Rocha (PhD student), Purdue University
- Bret Taylor, USDA, ARS US Sheep Experiment Station
- Carrie Wilson, USDA, ARS US Sheep Experiment Station
- > Ali Haider Saleem (PhD Student), Purdue University
- Alejandra Toro-Ospina (Post-doctoral Researcher), Purdue University
- Hilal Yazar Güneş (PhD student), University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Acknowledgements



National Institute of Food and Agriculture

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE













- INTERNATIONAL -



Finewool Breeders Consortium



Questions?





